



PROJECT NAME:

REVIEWER:

AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND -**ROUND 3 EVALUATION CRITERIA**

Max Points	Score	Evaluation Criteria
60		Priority Points for projects that would be physically located in the following counties:
		Bladen
		Duplin
		• Jones
		Pamlico
		Pender Castland
		Scotland Vac/No arrays and NCORR is attempting to some housing pools.
		Yes/No answers only. NCORR is attempting to serve housing needs in the Most Impacted and Distressed counties and has not spent dedicated Community Development Office housing project dollars in these counties to date.
10		Community Need and Support
		 Description of need using narrative and objective metrics How does the local need match the type of project suggested?
10		Additional Evidence of Local Support
		 Documentation of municipal/local government support of the proposed project such as letters of support or resolutions
		Committed funding from local agencies
		 Other support from local agencies, community groups, elected representatives
20		Capacity of applicant to manage CDBG-DR grants (which may include hiring or contracting plans), including but not limited to:
		Capacity to perform financial management and oversight
		 Capacity to perform grant management functions as demonstrated through prior experience managing grants with in-house staff, or with a grant management consultant
		Internal auditing capability
		Administrative staffing
		 Knowledge of both federal and state procurement and contracting and financial management requirements





	•	2 CFR 200 knowledge
		Monitoring and controls of timely expenditure of federal
		funds
	Innov	ration
20	•	4% and 9% tax credit projects will not qualify for these points.
	•	What makes this project innovative?
	•	Is this project able to be piloted in the future for other communities?
10	Leve	rage and Other Financial and Capacity Considerations
	•	Leverage: Describe any matching funds or leverage included in the project
	•	Set-asides of units for extremely low- and very low-income populations and/or set-asides for special needs populations
	•	Debt Service Coverage Ratios
	•	Per Unit Cost
	•	Previous experience of developers or contractors involved in project, if known
10	Evide	ence of readiness to proceed
	•	Other committed funding
	•	Proof of site control
	•	Approved zoning or permitting decisions
	•	Proof of solidified drawings or A/E
	•	Environmental reviews, or other pre-development procurement
	•	Estimated timeline
	•	Likelihood of completing the proposed project within grant deadlines
10	Cons	istency with Community Recovery Priorities
	•	Consistency with efforts made within the local government agency
	•	Consistency with part of a regional effort to develop community priorities for disaster recovery
	•	Details about why this project will provide recovery for storm-damaged counties or areas
10	Cons	iderations for Future Storm Resiliency
	•	Consistency with community planning priorities related to resiliency against future storms
	•	Ways the project incorporates or plans to incorporate resilient construction methods
	•	Site considerations such as avoiding floodplain and/or providing nearby access to public transportation





160	TOTAL POINTS
	 Description of Enterprise Community Partners standards or substantially similar program, if applicable

